

Learning About Environmental Issues With A Desktop Virtual Reality Field Trip

by [Alec Bodzin](#), Lehigh University; Robson Araujo-Junior, Lehigh University; Chad Schwartz, Lehigh Gap Nature Center; David Anastasio, Lehigh University; Thomas Hammond, Lehigh University; & Brian Birchak, Lehigh Gap Nature Center

Abstract

This article describes a design partnership with university faculty and informal environmental educators that developed a desktop virtual-reality field trip (dVFT) to learn about the environmental changes that occurred during the past two centuries because of a zinc smelting plant operation in the Lehigh River watershed. Our watershed is historically significant because it was a driving force of the industrial revolution in the United States during the 19th century. We provide background on place-based learning and the affordances that virtual reality (VR) and VR field trips can provide for learning. We describe our design and development approach and present the resulting dVFT. We discuss how the dVFT was used in an environmental education course during a global pandemic. The course included preservice and inservice secondary science teachers. The students experienced both immersion (i.e., sensory fidelity) and presence (i.e., subjective psychological response) when using the dVFT. The dVFT served two main purposes in the course. First, it provided students who were unable to attend the optional field trip with a meaningful experience to learn about an important environmental issue and remediation process. Second, the dVFT served as a valuable foundational learning activity for students to familiarize themselves with the actual field site prior to going to the physical site location. Implications for science teacher educators interested in developing a dVFT are discussed.

Introduction

Developing skills for understanding and addressing environmental issues is a key component of environmental literacy and is advocated by both the Association for Science Teacher Education (n.d.) and the North American Association for Environmental Education (2017) as an essential component of preparing preservice teachers. Furthermore, understanding human impacts on Earth systems, such as damaging or destroying natural habitats, is a disciplinary core idea in the *Next Generation Science Standards* (NGSS Lead States, 2013). The first author of this article has taught an environmental education course at Lehigh University for many years. Typically, about half of the students enrolled in this course are preservice and inservice secondary science teachers (Grades 7–12).

The course focuses on pedagogical approaches for teaching and learning. A significant amount of the course content focuses on environmental issues, primarily in our local watershed, the Lehigh River watershed in Pennsylvania. Watersheds have wide-ranging impacts on environmental protection and policy and on everyday life (because everyone lives in a watershed). The watershed is a powerful area of study that can both educate and motivate people of all ages to engage in environmentally responsible behaviors that impact all of humanity (Shepardson et al., 2007). Our watershed is quite unique because it was a driving force of the Industrial Revolution in our country during the 19th century. The availability of anthracite coal, zinc, and other minerals coupled with access to the Lehigh River, which provided a major transportation route via canals and railway routes, helped revolutionize transportation, industry, and business in the United States (Fox, 2019). Products that were manufactured within our watershed include steel, cement, iron, rolled zinc, zinc oxide, and other materials. The by-products from the manufacturing processes placed many harmful pollutants in our watershed, causing significant environmental degradation. Therefore, the rich industrial history of the Lehigh River watershed makes it ideal for learning about environmental issues and remediation not only for those who reside in the watershed but also for others throughout the United States.

A local watershed is a geographically familiar setting for students that is easily accessible for daylong field trips. This is true for the students enrolled in the course as well as for the students of the inservice science teachers who take the course. Studying local environmental issues provides learners with opportunities to experience science learning in meaningful contexts. In addition to understanding the underlying science, investigating environmental issues and their solutions actively involves learners in practicing and improving skills such as critical reflection, problem-solving, and decision-making, which are inherently important skills to science teacher education programs (Bodzin, 2010; Bodzin et al., 2011). In addition, investigating environmental issues involves analyzing and interpreting data, important science and engineering practices noted in the *Next Generation Science Standards* (NGSS Lead States, 2013).

One of the challenges that occurs in the course each year is that there are always a few students who are unable to attend a Saturday field trip. It is logistically impossible for students to make up a field trip if they are absent. To address this issue, we established a design partnership with the Lehigh Gap Nature Center to develop a desktop virtual-reality field trip (dVFT) that could serve as a makeup experience for a missed course field trip or as a means for secondary age students and the general public to learn about the environmental changes at the Lehigh Gap in Pennsylvania.

In this article, we begin by providing background on place-based learning and the affordances that virtual reality (VR) and VR field trips can provide for learning. We then describe our design and development approach and describe the dVFT. We discuss how the dVFT was used in the course during spring 2021, a time of global pandemic. Due to university COVID-19 restrictions, only an optional course field trip to the Lehigh Gap could be

offered. We then discuss the effectiveness of using a dVFT and the implications for science teacher educators. All coauthors collaborated on the design and development of the dVFT. The first author is a science teacher educator at Lehigh University who taught the environmental education in which the dVFT was implemented. The second author is a graduate student at Lehigh University and a lead developer of the dVFT. The fourth author is social studies teacher educator, and the fifth author is an Earth and environmental scientist, both at Lehigh University. The third author is the Director of Science and Education at the Lehigh Gap Nature Center, and the sixth author is the Director of Communications there.

Place-Based Learning

An integral part of the environmental education course involves the pedagogical approach of place-based learning. In place-based learning, learners explore an environment outside the classroom with authentic experiences. This enables them to connect to a site, developing a sense of place (Langran & DeWitt, 2020; Semken et al., 2017; Sobel, 2004). For environmental education, environmental science, geology, and ecology learning, place-based education often involves participation in site-based learning experiences. Past studies have shown that such experiences can improve students' environmental attitudes, values, and knowledge (Dale et al., 2020; Lavie Alon & Tal, 2015; Orion & Hofstein, 1991, 1994; Semken et al., 2017). Place-based investigations can provide preservice and inservice teachers with opportunities to apply their content knowledge to familiar places in their local environment or in other geographic locations that they may not be familiar with. This provides multiple opportunities to connect a variety of disciplines, environmental concepts, and learners' concerns and interests (Sarkar & Frazier, 2010; Thomas, 2020).

In some of our own university courses and public outreach programs, we have found that using place-based pedagogy with outdoor field settings was successful in promoting awareness and knowledge of environmental issues. Combining field trips to selected sites with environmental issues and focusing on case studies of those locations are some of the learning experiences we have found to be most effective. In addition, place-based approaches can have a strong effect on student outcomes, such as promoting a sense of environmental stewardship and fostering improved environmental behavior (Bodzin, 2008; Fisman, 2005, Sobel, 2004).

Affordances of Virtual Reality

Place-based learning includes both physical settings (e.g., an outdoor environmental education center) and virtual spaces (e.g., Web-based environments with virtual reality). Sociocultural perspectives argue that the features, materials, and activities associated with specific places influence learning processes and outcomes. The use of VR artifacts that mediate learning and desired performance in specific contexts and places is regarded as a "practice turn" in human learning, development, and performance (Jessor, 1996; Lave & Wenger, 1991; Rogoff, 2003; Shweder, 1996). Artifacts play an important role in distributed

cognition for interactions with individuals and with groups (Hutchins, 1995). The VR learning materials constitute the foundational resources through which people individually and collectively engage in the learning activities (Bodzin et al., 2021a).

VR technologies have rapidly emerged for use at home and in educational settings (Merchant et al., 2014) due to their lower cost and greater availability. VR is an interactive computer-generated experience that takes place within a simulated environment using a personal computer or head-mounted display (also referred to as an HMD) to generate realistic images and sounds and handheld controllers that allow interactivity to simulate a user's physical presence in a two- or three-dimensional virtual environment. VR enables its users to move and look around in an artificial world and interact with virtual features and items (Bodzin et al., 2021). This mechanism offers learners an active experience, rather than a passive one, while providing them immersion through immediate learner engagement. VR environments can be designed and developed to provide a novel learning experience to help people understand complex concepts (e.g., effects of anthropogenic impacts in a watershed) and develop important skills (e.g., observe and analyze temporal changes in a watershed). In this setting, authentic imagery, content, data, animations, video, and narration can be incorporated to provide users with an enhanced learning experience. VR can promote science learning due to its immersive nature and interactivity as well as the authentic feeling of realism and customizability of the environment. VR experiences can allow learners to examine scientific and environmental phenomena at multiple levels and at their own pace.

Most published research in the area of VR with HMDs has focused on design elements for developing immersion and a sense of presence (Bodzin et al., 2021a; Jensen & Konradsen, 2018). Immersion is the level of sensory fidelity that a VR system provides and the experience of using VR technology (Slater, 2003). This technology works by exchanging sensory input from reality with digitally generated sensory input, such as images and sounds (Freina & Ott, 2015). Spatial immersion occurs when one feels the simulated world is perceptually convincing; it looks “authentic” and “real,” and the learner feels that they are actually “there” (Jennett et al., 2008). Presence is a user's subjective psychological response to a VR system — the user responds to the VR environment as if it were real (Sanchez-Vives & Slater, 2005).

Virtual Reality Field Trips

A VR field trip presents several characteristics that appeal to learners and can be an enhancement to a university course, an informal education center, or for home learning when a physical location might be closed or inaccessible. For both university instructors and secondary science teachers, VR field trips have emerged as viable alternatives to regular field trips. They are less expensive, they do not involve dangerous and costly transportation or time away from the classroom, and they minimize administrative logistics for planning (Adedokun, 2012; Dolphin et al., 2019). In addition, a VR field trip is not affected by poor weather conditions. VR field-trip features such as active control of the user experience,

naturalistic yet safe environments, and realistic representations of real-world situations may increase engagement and learning. In university science courses, Litherland and Stott (2012) found increased student participation in classes when dVFTs were implemented, and Clary and Wandersee (2010) reported that some, but not all, students perceived that dVFTs had enhanced their active learning.

A VR field-trip experience provides a sense of authentic immersion and presence, a sense of physically being at specific geographic locations that may be either inaccessible in time or space or problematic, such as a field-trip to a dangerous location (Jennett et al., 2018). Furthermore, a VR field trip can be designed to focus users' attention on the learning tasks. In a VR field-trip environment, narration, authentic imagery, content, animations, and data can be incorporated to provide learners with a highly immersive learning experience. Because VR field-trip technology allows for such supports in an immersive environment, it can be designed to provide improved access to environment-related content for those with mobility disabilities or who are physically unable to visit an outdoor location (Bodzin et al., 2021a).

VR field trips can take a variety of forms. Some are highly structured with a specific sequence of designated locations at each site and include text, audio, or other media describing specific features of that particular location. VR field trips can include 360° images or videos of a specific location where a user can pan around the environment in any direction—up, down, right, or left—and also zoom into points of interest within the immersive media. In a dVFT, Web-based 360° tours can offer a sense of agency through the ability to change one's perspective. Moving one's head moves the camera around and allows the user to explore a place through a 360° view without physical movement (Klippel et al., 2020). Other VR field trips may be more exploratory, including only a few locations or points of interest to attract learners' attention where one has the freedom to explore by panning and zooming at their own pace (Dolphin et al., 2019).

There are some limitations to using a dVFT for a field-trip experience. Compared with a physical site visit, dVFT activities cannot reproduce the discourse or social interactions that occur with a university instructor or an educator with particular expertise at a field site. In addition, a dVFT is not able to replicate the genuine perceptions that students would experience at an actual site. These include smells and the physical feelings of one's body in that particular space, such as picking up and holding a piece of anthracite coal on a trail. Compared with using an HMD for a VR field trip, Klippel et al. (2020) contend that a dVFT with its mouse and keyboard interface does not promote a sense of embodiment or provide the same agency of mobility and situatedness that a more immersive VR field trip with an HMD can provide. This view informed our design work to provide learning experiences that support immersion.

Design and Development of the Virtual-Reality Field Trip

Our project employed a design partnership with an Earth and environmental scientist, science and social studies education professors with expertise in environmental curriculum development and instructional design, and environmental educators who work with learners of all ages to promote awareness of environmental issues at the Lehigh Gap. We set a goal to develop a VR field trip that could be used in both our university courses and for educational programs and community outreach for the Lehigh Gap Nature Center. We envisioned creating both a dVFT and a version that could be used with HMDs. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, we decided to focus solely on the dVFT and revisit an HMD version at a later date. For the environmental education course, the VR field trip would serve as a learning experience prior to the actual field trip to the Lehigh Gap and would also provide an alternative experience for students who were unable to attend the actual site field trip. The Lehigh Gap Nature Center staff would make the dVFT accessible to the public via their Website, so anyone with internet access could virtually experience the Lehigh Gap. They also envisioned sharing it with school groups prior to an in-person visit so program participants would have a general understanding of the Lehigh Gap story before they experience the actual location. This would allow the staff to add more depth to the content that is covered in person because program participants would already have a baseline knowledge of the history and ecology of the site.

Our design and development goal was for the dVFT to enable people to understand the environmental changes that occurred in the Lehigh River watershed in Pennsylvania during the past 2 centuries as a result of a zinc smelting plant operation at the Lehigh Gap. A green mountain ridge became a barren “moonscape” as a result of zinc smelting activities that began in the 1890s. The smelting plant emitted approximately 3,450 pounds of sulfur per hour from 1918 to 1970, along with heavy metals, into the atmosphere (Bleiwas & DiFrancesco, 2010). Smelter emissions produced acid rain, which spread over the surrounding landscape. Five years after the smelting plants ceased operations, a comprehensive and laborious revegetation project was initiated by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and a local community group. The Lehigh Gap has been revitalized today through a mixture of warm-season grasses that have trapped the heavy metals in the soil. Today, the site includes a 756-acre wildlife refuge and the Lehigh Gap Nature Center, which is used for education, research, and outdoor recreation (Bodzin et al., 2021b). The dVFT tells this story through immersive experiences.

We wanted to ensure that the dVFT would be engaging for a wide range of people, from middle school students to adult learners. We created an initial storyboard of key topics that the VR field trip would focus on. These topics included:

- the geography of the Lehigh Gap,
- the role of geography in establishing the Lehigh Gap as a major transportation corridor during the industrial revolution,
- the importance of anthracite coal to the region for manufacturing processes,

- the establishment of the New Jersey Zinc Company smelting plant at the Lehigh Gap (as well as the corresponding establishment of the town of Palmerton),
- the role of zinc ore in producing a wide range of goods,
- environmental degradation (specifically, how a pristine mountain became a barren “moonscape” from the acid rain that resulted from the zinc extraction and smelting processes),
- the establishment of the area as an EPA Superfund site,
- the revegetation process that occurred by creating test plots of different grass and fertilizer mixes, and
- the resulting primary succession and biotic diversity that occurred in the area.

Our main design idea was to create a virtual hike on a trail at the Lehigh Gap. The trail would have a number of stops that would focus on the content. Each stop would include a 360° photosphere where users could visually explore a particular location and learn about a topic area. We envisioned populating each photosphere with historical imagery provided by the Lehigh Gap Nature Center and embedded interpretative signs that were developed by the Delaware & Lehigh National Heritage Corridor that are actually on the trails. We also wanted users to get a sense of the geology that one experiences while hiking on the trail. For example, part of the trail was a railway that carried anthracite coal and other minerals. When walking on the trail, hikers can pick up and hold rocks that had years ago fallen off a train. We also wanted to include a simple animation in the VR field trip that would convey how the zinc processing plant emitted sulfur dioxide, which thereby produced acid rain, denuded the mountain, and affected the plant and animal life.

After creating an initial storyboard for the virtual hike, we asked three area middle school teachers what they thought of the idea. From these discussions, they noted that we should create an avatar to serve as a narrative guide for the VR experience. As a result of this feedback, an avatar, a bird named Brownie, was created to provide narration along the VR field trip. The focus group of teachers also felt that the VR experience should have some things for the students to actually do within each photosphere. From this feedback, we developed a task checklist that would need to be completed in each photosphere before the user could move on to the next one.

Photos were taken along a Lehigh Gap trail with a 360° camera on a tripod that was available to us from our university. We used the Unity game engine (see unity.com) to develop the VR experience, which enabled us to include some interactive elements in the dVFT. In addition, Unity has a weather asset called UniStorm that we were able to incorporate into the experience to help convey the process of having acid rain denude the mountain. Unity is freely available to academic institutions. To assist with the development, we partnered with our university’s technology entrepreneurship program, and a team of undergraduate students worked with us on the prototype. We then collaborated with our university’s computer science and engineering capstone program to recruit a team of undergraduate students to continue the project development as part of their undergraduate

capstone project. The initial prototype was reviewed by a group of 10 people that included informal STEM educators, classroom teachers, and graduate and undergraduate students with experience in VR development. The feedback was very positive, and some additional minor modifications to the interface were made.

The Lehigh Gap Story

The Lehigh Gap Story is the resulting dVFT and can be used with the Firefox or Chrome Web browser. It is available at <https://eli.lehigh.edu/lehigh-gap-story>. The landing screen presents two distinct experiences: Story Mode and Exploration Mode (see Figure 1). In Story Mode, a bird avatar named Brownie guides the user through a sequence of seven photospheres using audio narration and subtitles. In Exploration Mode, a trail map allows users to navigate through the trail pathway (see Figure 2), and users can freely explore each photosphere and the media assets.

Figure 1

Landing Page of The Lehigh Gap Story



Figure 2

The Trail Map in Exploration Mode



Note. Placing a cursor over a location site displays the site's main content.


In each photosphere, there is a checklist in the upper right corner of the viewing area that users must complete before moving on to the next photosphere. When the user enters the first photosphere, Brownie prompts the user to click on her, which starts the narration (see Figure 3). Alternatively, one can also “talk to Brownie” by pressing the “T” key on the keyboard. A series of images related to Brownie’s content is displayed while she talks (see Figure 4). In the first photosphere, users must listen to Brownie’s introduction to the area and also view the interpretive sign before a green arrow appears to let them continue to the next photosphere on the trail. Brownie also prompts the user in each photosphere to complete each task on the checklist. Figure 5 shows an image of Brownie prompting the user to click on the green arrow to continue down the trail to the next photosphere. Note that the checklist in the upper right corner is completed.

Figure 3
Image of the First Photosphere



Note. The checklist is displayed in the upper right-hand corner of the screen.

Figure 4
Brownie's Introduction to the Lehigh Gap



Note. An image of the Osprey House, the physical building at the Lehigh Gap Nature Center, is displayed during Brownie's talk. An interpretive sign can be viewed in the left part of the image.

Figure 5

Image of Brownie Prompting the User to Move to the Next Photosphere



Each photosphere focuses on a specific topic. In the second photosphere, the geology of the area is highlighted, and users are able to manipulate virtual pieces of anthracite, quartzite, sphalerite, and coal—rocks that are relevant to the Lehigh Gap story (see Figure 6). Users also learn about the importance of zinc for making products such as batteries. The third and fourth photospheres focus on the historical canal and railway transportation routes for bringing coal and zinc through the Lehigh Gap and transporting coal to areas further south in the watershed for other manufacturing processes (see Figure 7). Figure 8 shows an interpretive sign. Users can click on a magnifier icon to enlarge text or images within the sign. The fifth and sixth photospheres focus on the New Jersey Zinc Company and the establishment of the town of Palmerton, Pennsylvania. Users learn about the zinc smelting process to produce zinc ore and other zinc-based products (see Figure 9). Next, learners view the acid rain animation to learn how the smelting process from the plant denuded the mountain (see Figure 10). The final photosphere focuses on the establishment of the area as an EPA Superfund site, the testing of mixtures of warm-season grasses to restore the ecological health of the mountain, and the success of establishing diverse habitats that can be observed today (see Figures 11 and 12).

Figure 6

Brownie Prompting the User to Examine the Rocks





Figure 7

Brownie Talking About the Development of a Railway Bridge at the Lehigh Gap



Figure 8

An Example of an Interpretive Sign



Note. Users can click on the magnifier icons to enlarge text and images.

Figure 9

Brownie Discussing the Zinc Smelting Process at the New Jersey Zinc Company West Plant



Figure 10

Image From the Acid Rain Animation





Figure 11

Image Displaying Denuded Mountain Inset From Brownie's Narration



Figure 12

Current Image of the Lehigh Gap With Brownie's Narration Highlighting Habitat Diversity



Course dVFT Implementation

The dVFT was implemented in a graduate-level environmental education course during spring 2021. Prior to the field trip, students were assigned some background readings on how the Lehigh Gap became a Superfund site and about the revegetation process. Students were also asked to complete the dVFT prior to class. The course included one middle school science teacher and three preservice science teachers, one of whom had spent the previous spring interning at the Lehigh Gap Nature Center. As is typical on the Saturday field trip, we began the day with a presentation by the Lehigh Gap Nature Center staff. In the spring 2021 semester, this occurred via video conference due to the COVID-19 pandemic. We began the session with student introductions and asked the students to tell us their thoughts about the dVFT. All students stated in our whole group discussion that they found the dVFT engaging. They each noted that the imagery in the photospheres made them feel like they were actually there. Some commented that the background audio, which included natural sounds, helped contribute to their feeling of presence. All students commented that they enjoyed the interactive features in the photospheres. Many noted that this helped to focus their attention on learning the environmental content and understanding how the Lehigh Gap had changed over time. They enjoyed being able to take their time to explore each photosphere and read the interpretive signs. They liked Brownie's narration and the historical perspective that she offered from her point of view. The students noted that the acid-rain animation did a nice job conveying how the mountaintop became barren. All preservice and inservice science teachers noted that they could envision themselves using the dVFT with middle or high school students. They commented on the place-based nature of the experience and how this

would enhance secondary school students' understanding of the watershed. The students also commented on the interdisciplinary nature of the dVFT, noting that the dVFT could also be used in social studies classes. The students did not note any part of the dVFT that they did not find useful or that needed improvement.

The four students came to the Lehigh Gap in the afternoon for the optional field trip with the course instructor and the Lehigh Gap Nature Center Director of Science and Education. During the hike, all students commented that viewing the dVFT enhanced their actual physical field-trip experience at the Lehigh Gap. They commented that it helped prime them for the field trip and assisted them with connecting with the actual physical location. They discussed how the dVFT made them feel immersed in the virtual-learning experience and that their sense of place for the Lehigh Gap was initially developed through that experience. Their sense of place was then augmented by being at the actual physical location. The students noted important experiential activities during the in-person field trip that enhanced their learning experience. These included walking on a trail and picking up a piece of anthracite coal, viewing test plot signs of different warm-season grasses, and visually seeing the land footprint of the former zinc smelting plant. This was a surprise for us to hear since we were not anticipating that the dVFT would serve as a learning experience that would then serve to further augment the students' sense of place when they visited the actual field site.

Conclusion and Implications for Science Teacher Educators

We view the use of the dVFT in the environmental education course as a success to promote an engaging and meaningful place-based learning experience for the students. Place-based pedagogy involves authentic experiences in that place or in an environment that replicates the place, such as a VR environment. The students experienced both immersion and presence using the dVFT. The dVFT served two main purposes in the course. First, it provided students who were unable to attend the optional field trip with a meaningful experience to learn about an important environmental issue and the remediation process. Second, the dVFT served as a valuable foundational learning activity for students to familiarize themselves with the actual field site prior to going to the physical site location. Falk et al. (1978) reported that students on field trips to outdoor sites are often placed under heavy cognitive loads that limit their ability to perceive and process all of the relevant information when in a novel environment. Based on our students' reporting of their experiences, the use of the dVFT as a pre-field-trip activity may have reduced the students' cognitive load for learning during the field-trip site visit by promoting their environmental content understanding as they engaged with the VR environment.

The dVFT user interface includes high-fidelity and photo-realistic imagery coupled with the navigational agency for the user to freely explore the virtual environment at their own pace. This dVFT design likely assisted students with learning the environmental content. This idea

is related to the findings of a previous study reporting that elementary students working in a high-fidelity VR game simulation were well prepared to learn when later placed in the actual real-world environment upon which the game was based (Harrington, 2012).

Field trips to environmental sites can serve as powerful learning experiences for preservice and inservice science teachers. These experiences can also take place in a virtual environment. We recognize that science teacher educators at some institutions may not have opportunities to recruit undergraduate students to develop prototypes in robust game engine platforms such as Unity. However, anyone with access to a camera that takes 360° images can use freely available virtual tour creators such as Roundme (<https://roundme.com/>) and Paneek (<https://www.paneek.net>) without having to take the time to learn how to use a more robust game engine platform like Unity. Using these virtual tour creators, one can use high-fidelity imagery to craft a narrative about a specific environmental site in one's own local watershed or other location. Furthermore, one can readily embed text, images, video, and audio into a dynamic interactive image.

Many areas have informal environmental education or STEM-related centers that look to partner with higher education faculty to help meet their educational mission to promote environmental literacy to the public. These centers are an invaluable resource that can provide science teacher educators with environmental content expertise, rich visual imagery, and other resources that can be used to enhance the development of a dVFT.

The first step to establishing a design partnership with an informal environmental education or STEM-related center is to clearly articulate each partner's learning goals for their target audiences. Next, outline the important content you wish your dVFT users to experience, and then craft a narrative. Many environmental issues involve understanding temporal changes to an area that are caused by anthropogenic activities. This typically lends itself to an engaging environmental story. Next, storyboard the virtual field trip, and then develop a working prototype with embedded media and resources. Test the prototype with some targeted users to get feedback. Modify your dVFT experiences as needed based on your feedback, and then fully develop your dVFT.

The Lehigh Gap has a rich industrial history that helped our inservice and preservice teachers understand that past industrial processes that occurred on an important waterway had devastating effects on the natural environment during a time when there were little to no environmental regulations in place in the United States. Many institutions are located in or near watersheds that have past and current industrial processes that have resulted in environmental issues. As noted earlier, understanding and addressing environmental issues is important for promoting environmental literacy and preparing science teachers. Thus, our dVFT should have broad appeal to science teacher educators living in other geographical locations. The design partnership between faculty at Lehigh University and the Lehigh Gap Nature Center created a dVFT that resulted in a learning experience superior to what either partner could have developed on their own.

Acknowledgment. We thank Sarah Kiel, Tyler Hogue, Austin Lordi, Brad DeMassa, Challen Adu, Kanaruj Chanthongdee, Yolanda Liu, Max Louissaint, Anthony Blakely, and Brantley Balsamo for their development work with us on the dVFT.

References

- Adedokun, O. A., Hetzel, K., Parker, L. C., Loizzo, J., Burgess, W. D., & Robinson, J. P. (2012). Using virtual field trips to connect students with university scientists: Core elements and evaluation of zipTrips™. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 21(5), 607–618. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-011-9350-z>
- Association for Science Teacher Education. (n.d.). *ASTE position statement on environmental and sustainability education*. Retrieved December 9, 2021, from <https://theaste.org/aste-position-statement-on-environmental-and-sustainability-education/>
- Bleiwas, D. I., & DiFrancesco, C. (2010). *Historical zinc smelting in New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia, and Washington, D.C., with estimates of atmospheric zinc emissions and other materials* (U.S. Geological Survey Open File Report 2010–1131). U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey. <https://doi.org/10.3133/ofr20101131>
- Bodzin, A. M. (2008). Integrating instructional technologies in a local watershed investigation with urban elementary learners. *The Journal of Environmental Education*, 39(2), 47–58. <https://doi.org/10.3200/JOEE.39.2.47-58>
- Bodzin, A. M. (2010). Integrating web-based activities and field-based experiences to investigate environmental issues. In A. M. Bodzin, B. S. Klein, & S. Weaver (Eds.), *The inclusion of environmental education in science teacher education* (pp. 323–336). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-9222-9_22
- Bodzin, A., Kulo, V., & Pepper, T. (2011, January). *Educative curriculum materials as science teacher professional development for environmental curriculum adoption* [Paper presentation]. Association for Science Teacher Education (ASTE) 2011 Annual Meeting, Minneapolis, MN, United States.
- Bodzin, A., Araujo Junior, R., Hammond, T., & Anastasio, D. (2021a, January). *Investigating engagement and flow with a placed-based immersive virtual reality game* [Paper presentation]. Association for Science Teacher Education (ASTE) 2021 Annual Meeting, online.
- Bodzin, A., Araujo Junior, R., Schwartz, C., Anastasio, D., Hammond, T., & Birchak, B. (2021b, May). *The Lehigh Gap Story: A design partnership for developing an immersive virtual reality field trip* [Conference session]. 7th International Conference of the Immersive Learning Research Network Conference, online and in VR, 2021 Immersive Learning Research Network (iLRN) Conference, Virbela Virtual World.

Dale, R. G., Powell, R. B., Stern, M. J., & Garst, B. A. (2020) Influence of the natural setting on environmental education outcomes. *Environmental Education Research*, 26(5), 613–631, <https://doi.org/10.1080/13504622.2020.1738346>

Dolphin, G., Dutchak, A., Karchewski, B., & Cooper, J. (2019). Virtual field experiences in introductory geology: Addressing a capacity problem, but finding a pedagogical one. *Journal of Geoscience Education*, 67(2), 114–130. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10899995.2018.1547034>

Clary, R. M., & Wandersee, J. H. (2010). Virtual field exercises in the online classroom: Practicing science teachers' perceptions of effectiveness, best practices, and implementation. *Journal of College Science Teaching*, 39(4), 50–58.

Falk, J. H., Martin, W. W., & Balling, J. D. (1978). The novel field-trip phenomenon: Adjustment to novel settings interferes with task learning. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 15(2), 127–134. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.3660150207>

Fisman, L. (2005). The effects of local learning on environmental awareness in children: An empirical investigation. *The Journal of Environmental Education*, 36(3), 39–50. <https://doi.org/10.3200/JOEE.36.3.39-50>

Fox, M. C. (2019). *Geography, geology, and genius: How coal and canals ignited the American industrial revolution*. Canal History and Technology Press.

Freina, L., & Ott, M. (2015). A literature review on immersive virtual reality in education: State of the art and perspectives. In I. Roceanu, F. Moldoveanu, S. Trausan-Matu, D. Barbieru, D. Beligan, & A. Ionita (Eds.), *eLSE 2015: Rethinking education by leveraging the eLearning pillar of the Digital Agenda for Europe!* (Vol. I, pp. 133–141). “CAROL I” National Defence University Publishing House. <https://doi.org/10.12753/2066-026X-15-020>

Harrington, M. C. R. (2012). The Virtual Trillium Trail and the empirical effects of freedom and fidelity on discovery-based learning. *Virtual Reality*, 16(2), 105–120. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10055-011-0189-7>

Hutchins, E. (1995). *Cognition in the wild*. MIT Press. <https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/1881.001.0001>

Jennett, C., Cox, A. L., Cairns, P., Dhoparee, S., Epps, A., Tijs, T., & Walton, A. (2008). Measuring and defining the experience of immersion in games. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 66(9), 641–661. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhcs.2008.04.004>

Jensen, L., & Konradsen, F. (2018). A review of the use of virtual reality head-mounted displays in education and training. *Education and Information Technologies*, 23(4), 1515–1529. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-017-9676-0>

- Jessor, R. (1996). Ethnographic methods in contemporary perspective. In R. Jessor, A. Colby, & R. A. Shweder (Eds.), *Ethnography and human development: Context and meaning in social inquiry* (pp. 3–14). University of Chicago Press.
- Klippel, A., Zhao, J., Oprean, D., Wallgrün, J. O., Stubbs, C., La Femina, P., & Jackson, K. L. (2020). The value of being there: toward a science of immersive virtual field trips. *Virtual Reality*, 24(4), 753–770. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10055-019-00418-5>
- Langran, E., & DeWitt, J. (2020). *Navigating placed-based learning: Mapping for a better world*. Palgrave Macmillan. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-55673-0>
- Lave, J., & Wenger, E. (1991). *Situated learning: Legitimate peripheral participation*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511815355>
- Lavie Alon, N., & Tal, T. (2015). Student self-reported learning outcomes of field trips: The pedagogical impact. *International Journal of Science Education*, 37(8), 1279–1298. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2015.1034797>
- Litherland, K., & Stott, T. A. (2012). Virtual field sites: Losses and gains in authenticity with semantic technologies. *Technology, Pedagogy and Education*, 21(2), 213–230. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1475939X.2012.697773>
- Merchant, Z., Goetz, E. T., Cifuentes, L., Keeney-Kennicutt, W., & Davis, T. J. (2014). Effectiveness of virtual reality-based instruction on students' learning outcomes in K-12 and higher education: A meta-analysis. *Computers & Education*, 70, 29–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2013.07.033>
- NGSS Lead States. (2013). *Next generation science standards: For states, by states*. National Academies Press. <https://doi.org/10.17226/18290>
- North American Association for Environmental Education. (2017). *Guidelines for excellence: Professional development of environmental educators*. https://naaee.org/sites/default/files/professional_development_of_environmental_educators_-_guidelines_for_excellence_2017.pdf
- Orion, N., & Hofstein, A. (1991). The measurement of students' attitudes towards scientific field trips. *Science Education*, 75(5), 513–523. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.3730750503>
- Orion, N., & Hofstein, A. (1994). Factors that influence learning during a scientific field trip in a natural environment. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 31(10), 1097–1119. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.3660311005>
- Rogoff, B. (2003). *The cultural nature of human development*. Oxford University Press.

Sanchez-Vives, M. V., & Slater, M. (2005). From presence to consciousness through virtual reality. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 6(4), 332–339. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1651>

Sarkar, S., & Frazier, R. (2010). Place-based inquiry: Advancing environmental education in science teacher preparation. In A. M. Bodzin, B. S. Klein, & S. Weaver (Eds.), *The inclusion of environmental education in science teacher education* (pp. 159–171). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-9222-9_11

Semken, S., Ward, E. G., Moosavi, S., & Chinn, P. W. U. (2017). Place-based education in geoscience: Theory, research, practice, and assessment. *Journal of Geoscience Education*, 65(4), 542–562. <https://doi.org/10.5408/17-276.1>

Shepardson, D. P., Wee, B., Priddy, M., Schellenberger, L., & Harbor, J. (2007). What is a watershed? Implications of student conceptions for environmental science education and the national science education standards. *Science Education*, 91(4), 554–578. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.20206>

Shweder, R. A. (1996). True ethnography: The lore, the law, and the lure. In R. Jessor, A. Colby, & R. A. Shweder (Eds.), *Ethnography and human development: Context and meaning in social inquiry* (pp. 15–52). University of Chicago Press.

Slater, M. (2003). A note on presence terminology. *Presence Connect*, 3(3), 1-5.

Sobel, D. (2004). *Place-based education: Connecting classrooms and communities*. Orion Society.

Thomas, T. G. (2020). Place-based inquiry in a university course abroad: lessons about education for sustainability in the urban outdoors. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, 21(5), 895–910. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSHE-07-2019-0220>